

Potentialities of a mesoporous activated carbon as virus detection probe in aquatic systems

Doriane Delafosse, Laurence Reinert, Philippe Azaïs, Dominique Fontvieille,

Yasushi Soneda, Patrice Morand, Laurent Duclaux

To cite this version:

Doriane Delafosse, Laurence Reinert, Philippe Azaïs, Dominique Fontvieille, Yasushi Soneda, et al.. Potentialities of a mesoporous activated carbon as virus detection probe in aquatic systems. Journal of Virological Methods, 2022, 303, pp.114496. $10.1016/j.jviromet.2022.114496$. hal-03591925

HAL Id: hal-03591925 <https://univ-smb.hal.science/hal-03591925v1>

Submitted on 22 Jul 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

18 Regular epidemics highlight the usefulness of analysing such viruses in wastewaters as a tool for

19 epidemiologists to monitor the extent of their dissemination among populations. In this context,

20 CNovel™ Powdered Activated Carbon (PAC) was chosen for its high porosity and high adsorption

21 capacity to investigate sorbent ability to be used as part of of virus detection probes. Self-supported

22 PAC Foils (PAC-F), PAC coated Brushes (PAC-B) and PAC Sampler (PAC-S) were used to prospect

23 PAC efficacy in virus adsorption and above all, the feasibility of virus retrieval from them, allowing to

24 further analysis such as molecular analysis quantification. Aiming at the development of a field-

25 operational tool, PAC saturation and reusability were also investigated, as well as PAC-polarisation

26 effect on its adsorption capacity.

27 Our results pointed out that sorbent-based probes exhibited a high adsorption efficacy of spiked

28 Murine Norovirus (MNV-1) in bare 0.1 M NaCl solution (>90% for PAC-B and >86% for PAC-F at ≈107

29 genome unit virus concentration), with no saturation within our experimental framework. On the other

30 hand, polarisation assays using PAC-F as electrode, did not demonstrate any adsorption

improvement. Experiments on PAC probes reusability suggested that they should be used three times 32 at the most for a maximum efficiency. Values of virus retrieval were low (up to 11% with PAC-B and up to 14% with PAC-F in 0.1M NaCl virus suspensions), illustrating the need for the techniques to be improved. A preliminary field assay using PAC-S, demonstrated that our catch-and-retrieve protocol yielded to the detection of autochthonous human Norovirus Genogroup I (NoV GI) and Adenovirus (AdV), in wastewaters suggesting its promising application as virus detection tool in such high loaded and complex waters.

Keywords

Enteric viruses – Powdered activated carbon – Sorbent-based probe – Virus analysis – Wastewater analysis

1. Introduction

Human Enteric Viruses (HEV) are the main cause of waterborne diseases contracted all over the 45 world, such as gastroenteritis and hepatitis (Enserink et al., 2015; Bouseettine et al., 2020). Their replication occurs within the gastrointestinal tract of their hosts, leading to large numbers of viruses 47 excreted together with the faeces, up to 10^{12} particles.g⁻¹ of faeces, then entering wastewaters (Gerba,

2000; Blacklow and Greenberg, 1991).

Sewers drive wastewaters to WasteWaters Treatment Plants (WWTPs) where they are processed to

eliminate most of their organic and mineral loads, targeting downstream aquatic systems protection.

However, HEV persistence in water environment, as well as a relative resistance to WWTPs

52 depollution processes, have been demonstrated (Bae and Schwab et al., 2008; Seitz et al. 2011; Qiu

53 et al., 2015; Kauppinen et al., 2018). Residual virus loads in WWTP effluents reaching downstream

recreational or shellfish farming waters, are yet high enough to represent a public health issue (Aslan

55 et al., 2011; Sinclair et al., 2009).

Despite an increasing number of studies dedicated to this topic, a lack of efficient and standardised

techniques remains, especially in collecting viruses from complex media such as wastewaters.

In most previous researches, wastewater virus analysis follows an extraction / detection two-steps

59 protocol (Hmaied et al., 2016; Cioffi et al., 2020; Janahi et al., 2020), where molecular analyses

remain the gold standard in virus appraisal. Today, they allow very low viral genome copies detection

(Sharkey et al., 2021) while enduring some lingering impediments, as from inhibitors effects, despite recurrent investigations seeking at their reduction (Albinana-Gimenez et al., 2009; Canh et al., 2019).

Particles' extraction remains the main problematic step in virus analysis, especially in addressing

highly diversified and heavy loaded sewage waters.

A large panel of methods are described in the literature about enteric virus recovery from wastewater.

Filtration with negatively or positively charged filters is among the most popular techniques (Ahmed et

al., 2015; Soto-Beltran et al., 2013), together with protein precipitation (using polyethylene glycol, for

example) or flocculation with skimmed milk (Masclaux et al., 2013; Hjelmso et al., 2017; Strubbia et

al., 2019). Ultracentrifugation and ultrafiltration are also used as concentrating techniques (Sidhu et

al., 2018; Prado et al., 2019; Prata et al., 2012; Martín-Díaz et al., 2018).

As successful contributors to pollutants removal in wastewater treatment processes, adsorbents may 72 appear as good candidates for virus sampling (Crini et al., 2018). Among them, activated carbon,

73 under its many different formats, has been thoroughly studied for its ability to adsorb a wide range of

74 organic and inorganic components, including viruses (Cookson and North, 1967; Gerba et al., 1975;

75 Powell et al., 2000; Matsushita et al., 2013; Cormier et al., 2014). Activated carbon is a complex,

structurally disordered and highly porous material, available under several physical shapes (powder,

granules, beads, fabric, etc.). Its adsorption efficiency especially relates to its porosity, yet not being

specifically claimed to be mainly determinant in any of the above-mentioned studies. It can also be

79 polarised after addition of conducting additives (Goldin et al., 2005; Robles et al., 2020), a design

which may help collecting electric charges-bearing particles like virus within some pH ranges.

Our goal, in this work, was to setup devices and protocols committed to virus detection while

82 addressing the challenging task (as quoted by Cormier et al., 2014) of operating PAC.

Virus adsorption on PAC rely upon several parameters:

84 1. PAC specifications, especially specific surface area, porous volume and pore size distribution

85 2. Geometry and composition of holding structures used to operate PAC *(i.e.* supports)

3. Chemical mixtures entering the build of the above mentionned structures (glues)

4. Coating evenness, especially in its thickness

5. Experimental conditions (exposition time, stirring, temperature, etc.)

6. Overall water composition: dissolved and particulate matters (mineral and organic) including virus concentration.

7. Virus size, envelope, isoeletric point (IP).

92 Investigations on parameters 2 and 5, only are reported in this paper using the CNovel™ mesoporous

(50nm) PAC arranged in three forms:

94 - PAC foils (PAC-F), used as-is or, polarised as self-supported electrodes,

- PAC-coated brushes (PAC-B), an in-house design set to maximise PAC active surface,

- PAC sampler probes (PAC-S) designed to enter an automatic sampler committed to field
- experiments.
- All our experiments aimed at the assessment of both, PAC virus adsorption capacities and virus

recovery efficiency after desorption. PAC abilities to enter field operational virus sampling tools were

100 also investigated. Indeed, PAC probes, should not only be efficient in collecting viruses from aqueous

- media, but also in allowing their release, or at least, part of it, for further genome identification and
- quantification by Reverse Transcription-quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-qPCR). We also
- investigated a possible saturation of our PAC material after few adsorption steps, its ability to be
- reused through successive virus adsorption/recovery cycles and the effect of polarisation on its
- adsorption capacity.
-

2. Materials and Methods

All experiments were conducted on 0.1M NaCl Murine Norovirus suspensions and on wastewaters

from the WWTP of the Grand-Chambery urban community (Savoie, France).

2.1. Virus stock production

112 The murine norovirus (MNV-1) strain, a surrogate of human norovirus was used to perform all in vitro

- experiments. They were grown on murine macrophage cell line RAW 264.7 (ATCC TIB-71). High-
- glucose Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) and Foetal Bovine Serum (FBS) were purchased
- 115 respectively from Corning (Corning, NY, USA) and Dominic Dutscher (Bernolsheim, France).
- MNV-1 was propagated by infection of confluent RAW 264.7 cell line cultured in DMEM supplemented
- 117 with 5% FBS and 1% Ampicillin/Streptomycin. After 48h at 37°C under a 5% CO₂ atmosphere, lysate
- 118 was clarified by centrifugation at 3,000 g for 20 min at 4°C. MNV-1 containing supernatant was
- aliquoted and stored at -80°C. Stocks were quantified by Tissue Culture Infective Dose 50% (TCID50)
- and RT-qPCR.
-

2.2. Preparation of the powdered activated carbon probes

- All experiments were performed with CNovel™ (Toyo Tanso Co, Osaka, Japan) mesoporous
- 124 activated carbon (Figure 1). Microporous and mesoporous volume are 0.209 cm³.g⁻¹ (pores diameter <
- 125 2 nm) and 0.855 cm³.g⁻¹ (pores diameter 2-50 nm) respectively. The BET specific surface area is 660
- 126 ± 3 m².g⁻¹ (P/P° range = 0.01-0.05). As Noroviruses are around 30 nm in diameter, carbon porosity
- centred to 50 nm in diameter (estimation from BJH method applied on adsorption branch), was chosen
- 128 to maximise the virus-adsorbent interaction.

-
- *Figure 1. Scanning electron microscopy image of CNovel™ 50 nm.*
- Within the investigated water solutions pH range (6.5-7.5), the CNovel™ zeta potential (+0.55 mV at
- 132 pH 7) should favour the adsorption of negatively charged Norovirus.
- The three designed CNovel™-based probes are represented in Figure 2:
- self-supported PAC-F used as-prepared or as electrodes to investigate the role of polarity in
- adsorption processes;
- PAC-B; a field operational tool candidate
- PAC-S, part of an automatic sampler, used in wastewater field experiments.
-

Figure 2. PAC Foil (a), Brush (b) and Probe (c) designs.

Brushes(PAC-B) and sampler probes (PAC-S) were 3D-printed using Polyamide 12 (nylon) or Rigid

10K (resin), then coated with a mixture of 87% CNovel™ carbon, 8% PVDF Solvay 5130 and 7%

conductive carbon black C65 (Imerys Graphite and Carbons). Total coated surface was about 131

144 cm^2 . Coating mass was = 1.2 \pm 0.3 g. The coating slurry solvent was N-Methyl-2-Pyrrolidone.

PAC-F were prepared from 80% of porous carbon mixed with 14% of PTFE and 6% of conductive

146 carbon black (solvent: same as above). Their total mass was = 0.038 ± 0.012 g. Foils were totally

147 immersed (4 cm²) into the virus suspension for reusability experiments, and partly immersed (2 cm²)

- 148 for polarisation assays.
-

2.3. Adsorption assays

Both, PAC-F and PAC-B were used for these assays. All experiments were performed in triplicates at

154 room temperature (20°C) in 0.1 M NaCl MNV-1 suspension (1.76.10⁷ ± 0.36.10⁷ genome units.mL⁻¹ for

155 PAC-F experiments, $2.57.10⁷ \pm 0.25.10⁷$ genome units.mL⁻¹ for PAC-B ones). They were set up to

emphasize virus - adsorbent contact (stirring, narrow between-foil distance).

PAC-F were, each, plunged into 2 mL MNV-1 suspension and incubated under a 120 rpm stirring.

- 158 After a 24 h contact time, the foil was transferred into another tube where virus recovery later would
- take place (Figure 3). The NaCl solution, now freed from the foil, was submitted to RNA extraction for
- measuring the non-adsorbed viruses' amount.
- PAC coated brushes were, each, plunged into 30 mL MNV-1 suspension in a 50 mL test tube. Tubes

were incubated on a reciprocal shaker set at 120 rpm. After a 24 h contact time, PAC-B and 0.1 M

NaCl solution were separated and submitted to nucleic acids extraction processes.

In all cases, virus "disappearances" were calculated from virus concentration differences, between

positive controls and virus suspensions, at the end of the contact time period. Hence, these values were indirect assessments of the PACs adsorption capacities.

Positive controls were viral suspensions at the same initial virus concentrations which had no contact

with an adsorbent. As such, they were used as references for recovery rate calculations. Negative

- controls were plain 0.1 M NaCl solutions receiving a PAC device (PAC-F or PAC-B). Both controls
- were incubated 24 h under stirring.
-

Investigation on a possible saturation of PAC-F adsorption capacity, by immersion of a foil into 2 173 mL virus suspension, was repeated three times (same protocol as above), using the same foil but with 174 a new virus suspension at the same initial concentration. Each time, the remaining virus concentration was measured and PAC adsorption capacity calculated (Figure 3).

2.4. Adsorption kinetics assays

Assays were conducted following the previously described PAC-B adsorption protocol for , except that 1 mL was sampled 1 h, 2 h, 5 h, 15 h and 24 h after the experiment starting. Concentrations of the

180 remaining virus were measured and plotted over contact time.

2.5. Experiments on PAC probes reusability

- during the 2.5 h or 5 h contact times. Collected subsamples were all poured into a 10 L container,
- 212 finally resulting in one single water sample integrated over the experiment period.
-

Figure 4. Hydraulic circuit of the automatic sampler: A) trickling filters outlet collector tank, B) pump, 216 C) coarse filtration unit, D) rotameter, E) probe chambers, F) flow sensors, G) peristaltic pump, and H) 217 time-integrated water-collector.

Channel flow rates were measured by flow sensors, and recorded, then used to standardise each channel results for water discharge. At the end of the experiment, PAC-S and the time-integrated water sample were recovered and conveyed at 4° C to the laboratory for virus analysis.

-
- **2.8. Virus extraction from wastewater**

223 Virus were extracted from wastewater following a protocol derived from Pina et al. (1998). After the wastewater sample was twice homogenized for 30 s at 13,000 rpm using a hand blender, 25 mL were 225 collected and spiked with MNV-1, to a 3.21.10⁷ genome units.mL⁻¹ final concentration. The sample 226 was then mixed with 10 mL of 50 mM glycine and 3% beef extract buffer (pH 9.5) and incubated for 30 min at 4°C to promote the virus desorption from suspended matter. 10 mL of 2X phosphate buffer 228 saline (pH 7.2) were then added to the mixture for pH neutralisation, prior to be centrifugated (12,000 g for 15 min at 4°C). The pellet was discarded and the supernatant subjected to the nucleic acids' extraction process.

2.9. Nucleic acids extraction

233 Nucleic acids extractions and purifications were carried out using NucliSENS® reagents (Biomérieux, 234 Marcy l'Étoile, France) and the semi-automatised eGENE-UP® platform (Biomérieux, Marcy l'Étoile, France) according to slightly modified manufacturer instructions. Briefly, samples (either saline virus 236 suspensions from assays or wastewater from the automatic sampler experiments or the solid coated devices: PAC-S, PAC-B and PAC-S) were mixed with 30 mL of lysis buffer for 30 min at room 238 temperature. In experiments on fPAC-F, lysis buffer volume was 2 mL. 50 µL of magnetic silica beads were then added to the lysate and allowed to bind nucleic acids for 15 min at room temperature under shaking. Beads were washed successively with the three NucliSENS® extraction buffers and eluted in 100 µL of the third one. Eluted beads were vortexed then heated for 5 min at 65 \degree C and stirred at 1600 242 rpm, allowing the release of nucleic acids. After a 30 sec centrifugation at 480 g, the supernatant 243 (hereafter referred as RNA extract) was separated from the beads, carefully transferred into sterile microcentrifuge tubes and stored at -20°C prior to analysis.

2.10. Molecular quantification by RT-qPCR

247 RT-qPCR was carried out using the TaqMan Fast Virus One-Step Master Mix from Applied Biosystems™ (Waltham, MA, USA) with a total 20µL reaction volume. The reaction mixture contains 249 5ul of template, 5uL of Master Mix and primers and probes as needed per specific assay (Table 1). MNV-1 and NoV GI were quantified in multiplex reaction, while AdV was quantified in simplex one.

252 Table 1 : primers and probe's sequences for each analysed virus

- Results from replicates were all summarised as medians (unknown data population distributions) and
- Median Absolute Deviations were computed accordingly.
- 270 The percentage of virus retrieved from the sorbent or which disappeared from the suspension at the
- end of adsorption experiments, was calculated as follows:
-

$$
273 \tQ (MNV-1 recovery rate) (*) = \frac{Qf (viral RNA genome copies recovered)}{Q0 (viral RNA genome copies seeded)} \times 100
$$

-
-

3. Results

3.1. Viruses' adsorption and desorption using PAC-coated devices

- 278 The experiment aimed at the measure of MNV-1 adsorption on PAC-F and at the analysis of its
- repeatability.
-

282 Figure 5. PAC-F 24h adsorption repeatability in 0.1M NaCl viral suspension. Error bars are \pm Median

283 Absolute Deviation.

285 As stated on Figure 5, adsorption in the first assay appeared significantly higher than in the three

- 286 following ones, attesting for a slight decrease of the sorbent adsorption capacity along the repeats. It
- was asserting the progress of adsorption toward its saturation. However, this decrease did not amplify
- from assay 2 to assay 4, despite each time virus accumulation on PAC-F was attested by the virus
- concentration drop in the suspension.
- After a first decrease, CNovel™ kept a significant adsorption capacity, even after a four-times exposition to suspensions with same initial virus concentration. At the end of the experiment a total of 292 8.69.10⁷ viruses were then removed from the suspensions by the 0.038 \pm 0.012 g PAC-F (*i.e.* 2.29.10⁹ 293 genome units.g⁻¹ of PAC).
-
- Virus adsorption was then investigated with PAC-B designed as field-operational probes candidates.
- Compared to PAC-F, brushes coating resulted in a thin PAC layer, then with likely lower adsorption
- capacity, but with larger active surface.
-

- bars are \pm Median Absolute Deviation.
- MNV-1 adsorption on PAC-B 1, 2, and 3 (triplicates) reaches 97 ± 0.03 %, 90 ± 1.03 % and 94 ± 3.18 %
- respectively, after 24 h contact with the viral suspension (Figure 6). After desorption, 11 ±0.97% of the
- 304 adsorbed viruses were recovered from the first brush, while 0.3 ± 0.02 % and 1.4 ± 0.14 %, were
- obtained from the second and third recovery assays, respectively.
- Thus, despite their thin PAC layer, PAC-B demonstrated to be efficient adsorbent devices, with a final
- 307 adsorption of 2.63.10⁷ viruses on the 1.2 \pm 0.3 g of PAC-B (*i.e.* 2.19.10⁷ genome units.g⁻¹ of PAC-B).
- However, the desorption technique appeared rather inefficient on PAC-B, resulting in quite poor virus retrieval values.
-
- **3.2. Adsorption kinetics**

Figure 6. PAC-B MNV-1 adsorption in 0.1M NaCl viral suspension and recovering efficacies. Error

- Short sampling time is a key parameter in field-operational tools performances. PAC-B adsorption
- kinetics was therefore a focal point to be investigated.
-
-

-
- Figure 7. PAC-B adsorption kinetics in a 0.1M NaCl MNV-1 suspension. Values were calculated

318 according to the following formula: ratio $(%) =$ concentration of remaining viruses at x h/initial virus

concentration x 100.

Three successive assays delivered the similar adsorption kinetic patterns (Figure 7). Virus

concentration ranges from 30% to 51% after a 5h contact time, dropping to values as low as 10 to 3%

after 24h, when a nearly total adsorption of the initial virus load was observed.

3.3. PAC reusability

PAC-F and PAC-B ability to be reused were studied with the perspective to use them in a field-

operational tool.

- Reusability was investigated from steadiness performances of both the two steps of virus "adsorption"
- sampling (alias virus concentration decrease in the 0.1 M NaCl suspension) and "recovery" (direct
- retrieval of virus nucleic acids after submitting PAC to the lysis buffer).
-

- 331
- 332 Figure 8. PAC-F reusability in the 0.1 M NaCl suspension assayed over four MNV-1

333 adsorption/recovery cycles. Error bars are ± Median Absolute Deviation.

334 PAC-F reusability was tested over four virus adsorption/desorption cycles (Figure 8).

335 Adsorption efficiency kept quite steady during the firsts three cycles (85 to 86%), before falling to 43

 336 \pm 7% at the last one. Recovery rate was about 14 \pm 2% at the first cycle, and reached 36 \pm 3%, 33 \pm 3%

337 and 36 ±7% at cycles 2, 3 and 4, respectively.

338 One of the main outcomes of the experiment is the lowest adsorption uptake occurring at the last

339 assay. Despite the good performance of the recovery, with regard to this value, the result suggests

340 that three successive uses (adsorption + recovery) of PAC-F, only are possible.

341

342 Figure 9. PAC coated brush (PAC-B) reusability during four adsorption/desorption cycles. Error

343 bars are \pm Median Absolute Deviation.

344 Four repeats of virus adsorption/desorption cycle were performed, to investigate the PAC-B

345 reusability. MNV-1 adsorption uptake rate ranged from 87 to 99 % during the first three cycles (Figure

346 9), then decreased to 13 \pm 0.00%, 16 \pm 0.02% and 73 \pm 1.76% with brushes 1, 2 and 3 respectively, at the fourth cycle.

The corresponding virus recovery appeared slightly higher lately, after one or two reuses, especially

349 with brush 1 at the 3rd cycle. Results, from brushes 1 and 2, mainly, also suggest that differences in

PAC-coating masses, 1.56, 1.08 and 1.02 g on brushes 1, 2 and 3 respectively, were playing a part in

351 these recovery rate values.

These assays corroborated conclusions from foils experiments in that, under our experimental

conditions, PAC-devices (PAC-F and PAC-B) could hardly sustain more than three times reuses.

3.4. Polarization effect on PAC-F adsorption efficiency

Virus electrical charges play a major role in adsorption processes (Gerba, 1984). While large

357 variations according to species and groups, have been recorded, virus IP frequently establishes

between 3.5 and 7 (5.5 to 6.0 for Norwalk virus (Michen and Graule, 2010)). In environmental

freshwaters at pH between 6.5 and 7.5, and certainly in our 0.1M NaCl virus suspension, virus behave

as anions, justifying that one of the most usual sampling techniques rely on positively charged filtration

cartridges.

PAC-F polarisation experiments were designed to investigate a possible involvement of polarity in CNovel™ MNV-1 adsorption efficacy.

Figure 10. (a): Remaining virus in the 0.1 M NaCl MNV-1 suspension : at the end of the PAC-F

367 polarisation experiment. (b): MNV-1 recovery rates from PAC-F and platinum electrodes. 1. PAC-F as

anode. 2. PAC-F as cathode. 3. Unpolarised PAC-F. Error bars are ± Median Absolute Deviation.

Three experiments were planned, respectively with PAC-F as anode (1), PAC-F as cathode (2) and with no polarisation (3), all with platinum foil as counter electrode.

372 As depicted on Figure 10 (a), PAC-F adsorption rate exhibited no clear significant difference whatever

its electrode status, suggesting that, under our experimental conditions the foil polarity didn't play a

meaningful part into virus adsorption efficacy. Here, the enforced polarisation probably did not shift the

equilibrium of adsorption.

This conclusion was corroborated by results on recovery rates (Figure 10 (b)) where highest values appeared associated to non-polarised PAC-F assay (8%).

Such results were somewhat unexpected and could have been contrasted after adjustment of several

parameters. Among them, foils composition (percentages of PAC, PTFE and conductive carbon) and

varied applied voltages, within a narrow range, though, as the 0.9 V used during our experiments was

considered the highest safe possible potential before triggering water electrolysis.

3.5. Preliminary assays operating PAC-probes to sample wastewater autochthonous HEV

Two types of samples were collected by the automatic sampler: PAC-S probes and a water sample

integrated over the experiment period (section 2.7).

Whereas virus concentrations could easily be calculated from water analyses, virus quantities only can

be derived from probes analyses, preventing all direct comparison of virus loads between water and

probes: PAC-probes should be seen as devices devoted to virus-detection, with no possible

standardisation by reference to the water volume that was flowing around.

Figure 11. Concentrations of autochthonous NoV GI and AdV in the 2.5 h and 5 h integrated water.

Error bars are ± Median Absolute Deviation.

Figure 11 exhibits the overall increase in HEV loads between the two investigated contact time periods

(2.5 h and 5 h). This was certainly linked to water-related human activities, as the 5 h period

encompassed the after-lunch water uses. For some reasons, the increase was slightly higher for AdV

(72%) than for NoV GI (56%).

- A similar pattern was given by the probes analysis (Figure 12) but only for the 2.5 h exposure time as
- both virus groups found in water were also detected with PAC-Sand at the same ratio (Figure 12).
-
-

Figure 12. Amount of autochthonous NoV GI and AdV recovered from the PAC-S after 2.5 h and 5 h contact time with wastewater. Error bars are ± Median Absolute Deviation.

405 A sharp decrease in the retrieved AdV load was observed after 5 h contact time, while twice the water

volume of the 2.5 h period flowed through the probes (a total of 323 L). Probes clogging processes

407 were likely to explain this result, as exemplified by a previous 24 h exposition-period experiment when 408 no virus were detected due to a conspicuous clogging making totally inefficient the virus extraction 409 technique (data not shown).

NoV GI appeared to be less affected than AdV by these hindrances, probably as a result of their differences in capsid size and peripheral structures: two decisive parameters for their diffusion speed (Zhdanov and Kasemo, 2010) and for the strength of their interactions with the activated carbon surface. The larger AdV capsid (about 80 nm in diameter) would thus be less likely adsorbed on 414 clogged PAC-S than the smaller NoV GI (about 30 nm in diameter) (Kapikian *et al.*, 1972).

415

416 **4. Discussion**

417

418 Our work intended to shape and validate PAC-based virus sampling tools, allowing their recovery for 419 further analysis in a whole catch 'n' retrieve two steps procedure. First, it should be pointed out that 420 most experiments were performed using MNV-1 suspensions. Even though it is an accepted surrogate 421 of human noroviruses, our results cannot be extended to other viruses without further experiments, 422 especially regarding the capsid size and properties such as the isoelectric point.

423

424 Implemented into our PAC-devices (foils and brushes), CNovel™ powdered activated carbon proved 425 to be a very efficient MNV-1 adsorbent, demonstrating no saturation within the scope of our 426 experiments. Our values of its adsorption efficacy cannot be easily compared to similar studies 427 dedicated to virus adsorption on activated carbon, as most of them, dealt with virus integrity 428 (/infectivity) therefore reporting adsorption efficacies as PFU.L⁻¹ (Matsushita et al., 2013; Domagala et 429 al., 2021; Shimabuku et al., 2018). A comparison may yet be tempted with some results from Cormier 430 et al. (2014) putting forward a ratio of 9.18 genome units per PFU. After conversion, their PFU results 431 indicated that 9.10⁶ to 6.10⁷ MS2 genome units were adsorbed on 1 g of GAC in 2 h 45', whereas it 432 took 24 h for 1g CNovel™ to adsorbed 2.19.107 MNV1 genome units. The two viruses, MS2 and MNV-433 1 being similar in size, the comparison is suggesting that GAC adsorption kinetics would be faster than 434 CNovel[™] foil one (PAC-F). However, the difference could also be related to the high contribution of 435 salinity in virus adsorption efficacy supported by Cormier et al (2014). According to their results, at 436 20ºC, the optimal salinity was about at 20 ppt, whereas salinity in our 0.1M NaCl MNV-1 suspension

was only 5.8 ppt. This comparison emphasized the fact that the adsorption capacities of PAC included 438 in probes designed for virus sampling in wastewaters or other freshwaters, should be high enough to compensate for working far from their optimum conditions.

441 Polarisation of PAC foils appeared to be no help in virus adsorption improvement under our 442 experimental conditions. The results suggested that virus polarity at pH 7 (conditions chosen close to the ones in sewage treatment plants) was not enough oriented toward an anionic or cationic behaviour which would trigger effective adsorption enhancement. They advocate for further experiments where polarity would be applied over short periods and with some adjustments of foils' composition.

447 CNovel™ appeared to be able to withstand some reusability, keeping a high and fairly constant 448 adsorption efficacy over 3 repeats similarly with both, PAC-F and PAC-B (Figure 8 and Figure 9), then 449 suggesting the involvement of device-independent parameters in this limitation that will be reviewed later in this discussion.

Bad between-brushes reproducibility (Figure 9) highlighted the difficulty to produce exact copies of hand-craft PAC-based devices. PAC coating was difficult to reproduce, leading to between-brushes differences in amount, thickness and evenness of the activated carbon layer. Making use of an automatic coating machine would be a better choice in future experiments. This is why, results from brush 1, the one with the highest PAC weight, mainly, will be considered in the following discussions.

Adsorption kinetic on PAC-B followed a slow exponential decay (Figure 7). A similar figure was

described by Cormier et al. (2014) in their experiments where GAC was added to seawater spiked with MS2 bacteriophages.

Such pattern may be derived from a simple diffusion-controlled adsorption which apply to all particle suspensions in contact with an adsorbent under constant temperature and zero velocity (Zhdanov and Kasemo, 2010). Temperature was constant in our experiments (20ºC), however, a reciprocal shaker 463 allowed some sort of stirring of both, brushes and virus suspension, then increasing the probability viruses came into contact with the activated carbon. As virus concentration decreased due to the adsorption, the concentration gradient between viruses and activated carbon was decreasing and consequently the diffusion flow speed decreased as well as the adsorption rate. Actually, the figure

was probably more complex: when working with non-planar activated carbon surfaces, particles transport limitation comes not only from diffusion but also from some shapes' parameters of the 469 activated carbon, like particles radius in the case of activated carbon powder (Alvarez et al., 2010). 470 Adsorption rate decrease could also be explained by a self-limiting process where adsorption impaired itself because of the progressive saturation of the adsorption sites by the adsorbed virus. In addition, 472 the lysis buffer action at the end of each adsorption/ recovery cycle, probably left debris and molecules (proteins) which were not all collected with the buffer and in a number much higher than the initial number of intact viruses. Both, processes, diffusion-controlled adsorption and adsorption drift to saturation, were likely main contributors in the adsorption rate decrease (Figure 7) and the one observed at cycle 4 for PAC devices reusability experiments, occurring with both, PAC-F and PAC-B (Figure 8 and Figure 9).

Unlike adsorption, virus recovery measurements yielded contrasted, quite low and highly variable values in all our experiments. A maximum of 40% virus recovery was registered from one of our brushes after 3 times reuse and a few percent only, from the two other brushes, during the same experiment. Aside their volatility, these results represented a significant progress where other authors 483 just failed to isolate RNA from powdered activated carbon (Cormier et al., 2014). However, they still are questioning the processes from which they derived, probably related to conflicting parameters, 485 some impairing, some promoting the underlying reactions.

The performance of the adsorbed virus recovery process was primarily explained by the one of the NucliSENS® lysis buffer. It was relying from both its composition and its ability to reach and react with the virus while its diffusion progressed into the PAC porosity and the bulk of mineral and organic material that might be deposited on it. Results from our reusability experiments, both with foils (PAC-F) and brushes (PAC-B) illustrated the way this material covering the activated carbon might have interfered with the operability of the buffer. Lowest values of recovery rate always occurred at the 1st 493 use of either PAC-F or PAC-B (Figure 8 and Figure 9, brush 1). At this time, a bare PAC surface was exposed to the virus suspension. It can be expected that the strength of adsorption forces (van der Walls and electrostatic) were then at their maximum. Two reasons might explain a poor performance of the buffer, at the moment:

497 - 1) RNAs released from the virus lysis, might have been adsorbed by the activated carbon and then 498 no longer able to be collected by the buffer. Such an adsorption was demonstrated with DNAs and 499 RNAs solutions on materials like graphene, nanotubes or biochar (Kirtane et al., 2015; Pividori and Alegret, 2005; Bimová et al., 2021). Conversely, other studies were suggesting that PAC may improve 501 DNA analysis by removing some qPCR inhibitors like humic acids (Barbaric et al., 2015), a benefit which was not evidenced in our measures. - 2) Part of the buffer could be made ineffective by its contact with the activated carbon after its

components were dissociated, due to differences in their affinities with the carbon adsorption sites. Indeed, both thiocyanate and EDTA could be adsorbed on activated carbon with different efficacies as 506 reported by Aguirre et al. (2010) and Zhu et al. (2011) on activated carbons with similar BET surface areas.

Figure 8 and Figure 9 also show that the virus recovery rate increased at cycles 2 and 3, with both, foils and brushes. At this time, part of the PAC porosity might be clogged by virus debris (capsid and/or protein residuals) and some virus left untouched by the lysis buffer, from the previous assay. We may assume that the consequences of this extra layer were to maintain newly released RNA a bit farther from the active carbon, then lowering the adsorption forces and consequently easing its collection by the lysis buffer. This interpretation is consistent with the expected decrease of adsorbate 515 interaction energy when adsorption uptake increases, as observed by Baker et al. (2010) with heterogeneous surfaces, such as our PAC coating.

Briefly, four processes were probably contributing to these low values of virus recovery: 1. of course the adsorption itself with the amount of virus collected, 2. lysis buffer inability to reach adsorbed virus 520 3. its dissociation, and 4. RNA adsorption. All are related to the amount of proteins and debris clogging 521 the activated carbon which should be large enough for weakening adsorption forces However, they shouldn't be too overwhelming, then shielding the carbon surface from new contacts with viruses, and obstructing lysis buffer reaching already adsorbed viruses.

Optimal conditions for an efficient "catch 'n 'retrieve" virus sampling protocol, probably laid within a narrow range of activated carbon obstruction, as demonstrated when adsorption itself came to be

affected. It occurred at cycle 4 of our reusability experiments, whatever the PAC device (foils or

brushes). At this time, a value of virus recovery could be registered from brush 2 but not from brush 1,

while, in both cases, virus adsorption was low (Figure 9). According to our assays and the way our

PAC devices were designed, such a trade-off appeared to be met after two to three reuses of the

devices.

Field experiments involving our PAC-S, demonstrated that adsorbent based probes were able to endure wastewater harsh conditions, for short exposition periods, allowing the retrieval of part of the 535 HEV autochthonous load and part of its diversity (Figure 12). Significant amount of virus was collected 536 despite a quite low temperature (15 $^{\circ}$ C). This result appeared to be in line with studies supporting for no temperature effect on protein adsorption on activated carbon, at least within the range of wastewater's temperatures (Cookson, 1969). The exposition period should be optimized to compromise for the aforementioned trade-off between beneficial clogging and lysis buffer efficacy, a 540 threshold that was obviously outreached with the 5 h exposition time.

5. Conclusion

One of the main achievements of this work was to set up and validate PAC-based devices. Supported by a performing adsorption and despite some volatility of the recovery step, our catch 'n' retrieve protocol, in association with our CNovel™-based sampling tools (PAC-B and PAC-S particularly) were able to supply virus samples to downstream molecular analysis. Our experiments highlighted the importance of two parameters in operating PAC-based devices: 1) the choice of an exposition time balancing efficient adsorption and virus recovery 2) the need for a pre-use probes conditioning (pre-use allowing some degree of clogging of the activated carbon porosity) which compensate in part, for the too effective adsorption forces of the CNovel™.

6. Acknowledgments

The research was supported by the ABIOLAB laboratory (Montbonnot Saint-Martin, France), where most experiments were performed and by the ASPOSAN association.

Fields experiments were possible thanks to the Grand Chambery WWTP authorities. Their help in

setting up the automatic sampler at the trickling filters outlet was greatly appreciated.

7. References

Aguirre, N.V., Vivas, B.P., Montes-Morán, M.A., Ania, C.O., 2010. Adsorption of Thiocyanate Anions from

Aqueous Solution onto Adsorbents of Various Origin. Adsorption Science & Technology 28, 705–716.

https://doi.org/10.1260/0263-6174.28.8-9.705

- Ahmed, W., Bertsch, P.M., Bivins, A., Bibby, K., Farkas, K., Gathercole, A., Haramoto, E., Gyawali, P.,
- Korajkic, A., McMinn, B.R., Mueller, J.F., Simpson, S.L., Smith, W.J.M., Symonds, E.M., Thomas,
- K.V., Verhagen, R., Kitajima, M., 2020. Comparison of virus concentration methods for the RT-qPCR-

based recovery of murine hepatitis virus, a surrogate for SARS-CoV-2 from untreated wastewater.

Science of The Total Environment 739, 139960. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139960

Albinana-Gimenez, N., Clemente-Casares, P., Calgua, B., Huguet, J.M., Courtois, S., Girones, R., 2009.

Comparison of methods for concentrating human adenoviruses, polyomavirus JC and noroviruses in

- source waters and drinking water using quantitative PCR. Journal of Virological Methods 158, 104–
- 109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2009.02.004

Alvarez, N.J., Walker, L.M., Anna, S.L., 2010. Diffusion-limited adsorption to a spherical geometry: The

impact of curvature and competitive time scales. Phys. Rev. E 82, 011604.

https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.82.011604

Aslan, A., Xagoraraki, I., Simmons, F.J., Rose, J.B., Dorevitch, S., 2011. Occurrence of adenovirus and

575 other enteric viruses in limited-contact freshwater recreational areas and bathing waters. Journal of

Applied Microbiology. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2011.05130.x

Bae, J., Schwab, K.J., 2008. Evaluation of Murine Norovirus, Feline Calicivirus, Poliovirus, and MS2 as

Surrogates for Human Norovirus in a Model of Viral Persistence in Surface Water and Groundwater.

- Appl Environ Microbiol 74, 477–484. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02095-06
- Baker, T.A., Kaxiras, E. & Friend, C.M. Insights from Theory on the Relationship Between Surface
- Reactivity and Gold Atom Release. Top Catal **53,** 365–377 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11244-
- 010-9446-3
- Barbarić, L., Bačić, I., Grubić, Z., 2015. Powdered Activated Carbon: An Alternative Approach to Genomic
- DNA Purification. J Forensic Sci 60, 1012–1015. https://doi.org/10.1111/1556-4029.12773
- Bimová, P., Roupcová, P., Klouda, K., Matějová, L., Staňová, A.V., Grabicová, K., Grabic, R., Majová, V.,
- Híveš, J., Špalková, V., Gemeiner, P., Celec, P., Konečná, B., Bírošová, L., Krahulcová, M.,
- Mackuľak, T., 2021. Biochar An efficient sorption material for the removal of pharmaceutically active
- compounds, DNA and RNA fragments from wastewater. Journal of Environmental Chemical
- Engineering 9, 105746. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2021.105746
- Blacklow, N.R., Greenberg, H.B., 1991. Viral Gastroenteritis. N Engl J Med 325, 252–264.
- https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199107253250406
- Bouseettine, R., Hassou, N., Bessi, H., Ennaji, M.M., 2020. Waterborne Transmission of Enteric Viruses
- and Their Impact on Public Health, in: Emerging and Reemerging Viral Pathogens. Elsevier, pp. 907–
- 932. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819400-3.00040-5
- Canh, V.D., Osawa, H., Inoue, K., Kasuga, I., Takizawa, S., Furumai, H., Katayama, H., 2019.
- Ferrihydrite treatment to mitigate inhibition of RT-qPCR virus detection from large-volume
- environmental water samples. Journal of Virological Methods 236, 60-67.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2018.10.018
- Cioffi, B., Monini, M., Salamone, M., Pellicanò, R., Di Bartolo, I., Guida, M., La Rosa, G., Fusco, G., 2020.
- Environmental surveillance of human enteric viruses in wastewaters, groundwater, surface water and
- sediments of Campania Region. Regional Studies in Marine Science 38, 101368.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsma.2020.101368
- Cookson, J.T., North, W.J., 1967. Adsorption of viruses on activated carbon. Equilibriums and kinetics of
- the attachment of Escherichia coli bacteriophage T4 on activated carbon. Environ. Sci. Technol. 1, 46–
- 52. https://doi.org/10.1021/es60001a002
- Cookson, J.T., 1969. MECHANISM OF VIRUS ADSORPTION ON ACTIVATED CARBON. Journal -
- American Water Works Association 61, 52–56. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1551-8833.1969.tb03702.x
- Cormier, J., Gutierrez, M., Goodridge, L., Janes, M., 2014. Concentration of enteric virus indicator from
- seawater using granular activated carbon. Journal of Virological Methods 196, 212–218.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2013.11.008
- Crini, G., Lichtfouse, E., Wilson, L.D., Morin-Crini, N., 2019. Conventional and non-conventional
- adsorbents for wastewater treatment. Environ Chem Lett 17, 195–213. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-
- 018-0786-8
- Domagała, K., Bell, J., Yüzbasi, N.S., Sinnet, B., Kata, D., Graule, T., 2021. Virus removal from drinking
- water using modified activated carbon fibers. RSC Adv. 11, 31547–31556.
- https://doi.org/10.1039/D1RA06373A
- Enserink, R., van den Wijngaard, C., Bruijning-Verhagen, P., van Asten, L., Mughini-Gras, L., Duizer, E.,
- Kortbeek, T., Scholts, R., Nagelkerke, N., Smit, H.A., Kooistra-Smid, M., van Pelt, W., 2015.
- Gastroenteritis Attributable to 16 Enteropathogens in Children Attending Day Care: Significant Effects
- of Rotavirus, Norovirus, Astrovirus, Cryptosporidium and Giardia. Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal
- 34, 5–10. https://doi.org/10.1097/INF.0000000000000472
- Gerba, C.P., Sobsey, M.D., Wallis, C., Meinick, J.L., 1975. Adsorption of poliovirus onto activated carbon
- in waste water. Environ. Sci. Technol. 9, 727–731. https://doi.org/10.1021/es60106a009
- Gerba, C.P., 1984. Applied and Theoretical Aspects of Virus Adsorption to Surfaces, in: Advances in
- Applied Microbiology. Elsevier, pp. 133–168. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2164(08)70054-6
- Gerba, C.P., 2000. Assessment of Enteric Pathogen Shedding by Bathers during Recreational Activity
- and its Impact on Water Quality. Quantitative Microbiology, 2(1), 55-68.
- https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010000230103
- Goldin, M.M., Volkov, A.G., Namychkin, D.N., Filatova, E.A., Revina, A.A., 2005. Adsorption of Copper
- and Calcium Cations on Polarized Activated Carbon Modified by Quercetin. J. Electrochem. Soc. 152,
- E172. https://doi.org/10.1149/1.1882032
- Hjelmsø, M.H., Hellmér, M., Fernandez-Cassi, X., Timoneda, N., Lukjancenko, O., Seidel, M., Elsässer,
- D., Aarestrup, F.M., Löfström, C., Bofill-Mas, S., Abril, J.F., Girones, R., Schultz, A.C., 2017.
- Evaluation of Methods for the Concentration and Extraction of Viruses from Sewage in the Context of
- Metagenomic Sequencing. PLoS ONE 12, e0170199. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0170199
- Hmaïed, F., Jebri, S., Saavedra, M.E.R., Yahya, M., Amri, I., Lucena, F., Hamdi, M., 2016. Comparison of
- Two Concentration Methods for the Molecular Detection of Enteroviruses in Raw and Treated
- Sewage. Curr Microbiol 72, 12–18. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00284-015-0909-4
- Janahi, E.M., Mustafa, S., Parkar, S.F.D., Naser, H.A., Eisa, Z.M., 2020. Detection of Enteric Viruses and
- Bacterial Indicators in a Sewage Treatment Center and Shallow Water Bay. IJERPH 17, 6483.
- https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17186483
- Kapikian, A.Z., Wyatt, R.G., Dolin, R., Thornhill, T.S., Kalica, A.R., Chanock, R.M., 1972. Visualization by
- Immune Electron Microscopy of a 27-nm Particle Associated with Acute Infectious Nonbacterial
- Gastroenteritis. J Virol 10, 1075–1081. https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.10.5.1075-1081.1972
- Kauppinen, A., Pitkänen, T., Miettinen, I.T., 2018. Persistent Norovirus Contamination of Groundwater
- Supplies in Two Waterborne Outbreaks. Food Environ Virol 10, 39–50. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12560-
- 017-9320-6
- Kirtane, A., Atkinson, J.D., Sassoubre, L., 2020. Design and Validation of Passive Environmental DNA
- Samplers Using Granular Activated Carbon and Montmorillonite Clay. Environ. Sci. Technol. 54,
- 11961–11970. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c01863
- Martín-Díaz, J., Lucena, F., 2018. Extraction and RT-qPCR detection of enteroviruses from solid
- environmental matrixes: Method decision tree for different sample types and viral concentrations.
- Journal of Virological Methods 251, 145–150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2017.10.004
- Masclaux, F.G., Hotz, P., Friedli, D., Savova-Bianchi, D., Oppliger, A., 2013. High occurrence of hepatitis
- E virus in samples from wastewater treatment plants in Switzerland and comparison with other enteric
- viruses. Water Research 47, 5101–5109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2013.05.050
- Matsushita, T., Suzuki, H., Shirasaki, N., Matsui, Y., Ohno, K., 2013. Adsorptive virus removal with super-
- powdered activated carbon. Separation and Purification Technology 107, 79–84.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2013.01.017
- Michen, B., Graule, T., 2010. Isoelectric points of viruses. Journal of Applied Microbiology 109, 388–397.
- https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2010.04663.x
- Pina, S., Jofre, J., Emerson, S.U., Purcell, R.H., Girones, R., 1998. Characterization of a Strain of
- Infectious Hepatitis E Virus Isolated from Sewage in an Area where Hepatitis E Is Not Endemic. Appl.
- Environ. Microbiol. 4. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.64.11.4485-4488.1998
- Pividori, M.I., Alegret, S., 2005. DNA Adsorption on Carbonaceous Materials, in: Wittmann, C. (Ed.),
- Immobilisation of DNA on Chips I, Topics in Current Chemistry. Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg, pp.
- 1–36. https://doi.org/10.1007/b136064
- Powell, T., Brion, G.M., Jagtoyen, M., Derbyshire, F., 2000. Investigating the Effect of Carbon Shape on
- Virus Adsorption. Environ. Sci. Technol. 34, 2779–2783. https://doi.org/10.1021/es991097w
- Prado, T., de Castro Bruni, A., Barbosa, M.R.F., Garcia, S.C., de Jesus Melo, A.M., Sato, M.I.Z., 2019.
- Performance of wastewater reclamation systems in enteric virus removal. Science of The Total
- Environment 678, 33–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.04.435
- Prata, C., Ribeiro, A., Cunha, Â., Gomes, Newton.C.M., Almeida, A., 2012. Ultracentrifugation as a direct
- method to concentrate viruses in environmental waters: virus-like particle enumeration as a new
- approach to determine the efficiency of recovery. J. Environ. Monit. 14, 64–70.
- https://doi.org/10.1039/C1EM10603A
- Qiu, Y., Lee, B.E., Neumann, N., Ashbolt, N., Craik, S., Maal-Bared, R., Pang, X.L., 2015. Assessment of
- human virus removal during municipal wastewater treatment in Edmonton, Canada. J Appl Microbiol
- 119, 1729–1739. https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.12971
- Robles, I., Moreno-Rubio, G., García-Espinoza, J.D., Martínez-Sánchez, C., Rodríguez, A., Meas-Vong,
- Y., Rodríguez-Valadez, F.J., Godínez, L.A., 2020. Study of polarized activated carbon filters as
- simultaneous adsorbent and 3D-type electrode materials for electro-Fenton reactors. Journal of
- Environmental Chemical Engineering 8, 104414. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2020.104414
- Seitz, S.R., Leon, J.S., Schwab, K.J., Lyon, G.M., Dowd, M., McDaniels, M., Abdulhafid, G., Fernandez,
- M.L., Lindesmith, L.C., Baric, R.S., Moe, C.L., 2011. Norovirus Infectivity in Humans and Persistence
- in Water. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 77, 6884–6888. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.05806-11
- Sharkey, M.E., Kumar, N., Mantero, A.M.A., Babler, K.M., Boone, M.M., Cardentey, Y., Cortizas, E.M.,
- Grills, G.S., Herrin, J., Kemper, J.M., Kenney, R., Kobetz, E., Laine, J., Lamar, W.E., Mader, C.C.,
- Mason, C.E., Quintero, A.Z., Reding, B.D., Roca, M.A., Ryon, K., Solle, N.S., Schürer, S.C., Shukla,
- B., Stevenson, M., Stone, T., Tallon, J.J., Venkatapuram, S.S., Vidovic, D., Williams, S.L., Young, B.,
- Solo-Gabriele, H.M., 2021. Lessons learned from SARS-CoV-2 measurements in wastewater. Science
- of The Total Environment 798, 149177. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.149177
- Shimabuku, Q.L., Ueda-Nakamura, T., Bergamasco, R., Fagundes-Klen, M.R., 2018. Chick-Watson
- kinetics of virus inactivation with granular activated carbon modified with silver nanoparticles and/or
- copper oxide. Process Safety and Environmental Protection 117, 33–42.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2018.04.005
- Sidhu, J.P.S., Sena, K., Hodgers, L., Palmer, A., Toze, S., 2018. Comparative enteric viruses and
- coliphage removal during wastewater treatment processes in a sub-tropical environment. Science of
- The Total Environment 616–617, 669–677. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.10.265
- Sinclair, R.G., Jones, E.L., Gerba, C.P., 2009. Viruses in recreational water‐borne disease outbreaks a
- review. Journal of Applied Microbiology. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2009.04367.x
- Soto-Beltran, M., Ikner, L.A., Bright, K.R., 2013. Effectiveness of Poliovirus Concentration and Recovery
- 703 from Treated Wastewater by Two Electropositive Filter Methods. Food Environ Virol 5, 91-96.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s12560-013-9104-6
- Strubbia, S., Schaeffer, J., Oude Munnink, B.B., Besnard, A., Phan, M.V.T., Nieuwenhuijse, D.F., de
- Graaf, M., Schapendonk, C.M.E., Wacrenier, C., Cotten, M., Koopmans, M.P.G., Le Guyader, F.S.,
- 2019. Metavirome Sequencing to Evaluate Norovirus Diversity in Sewage and Related
- Bioaccumulated Oysters. Front. Microbiol. 10, 2394. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02394
- Zhdanov, V.P., Kasemo, B., 2010. Diffusion-limited kinetics of adsorption of biomolecules on supported
- nanoparticles. Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces 76, 28–31.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2009.10.004
- Zhu, H., Yang, X., Mao, Y., Chen, Y., Long, X., Yuan, W., 2011. Adsorption of EDTA on activated carbon
- from aqueous solutions. Journal of Hazardous Materials 185, 951–957.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.09.112